

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

CHRISTIAN DOSCHER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 13-cv-5483-RBL

Order


(Dkt. #1)

Before the Court is Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. [Dkt. #1]. For the reasons set forth below, the Court denies the application.

A district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed *in forma pauperis* upon completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The court has broad discretion in resolving the application, but "the privilege of proceeding *in forma pauperis* in civil actions for damages should be sparingly granted." *Weller v. Dickson*, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th Cir. 1963), *cert. denied* 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Moreover, a court should "deny leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit." *Tripathi v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust*, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369 (9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). An *in forma pauperis* complaint is frivolous if "it ha[s] no arguable substance in law or fact." *Id.* (citing *Rizzo v. Dawson*, 778 F.2d 527, 529 (9th Cir. 1985); *Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir. 1984)).

1 Here, Plaintiff's Complaint appears to lack merit on its face. Thus, the Court **DENIES**  
2 the application. [Dkt. #1]. Plaintiff must pay the filing fee within **15 days** of this Order, or his  
3 Complaint will be dismissed.

4  
5 Dated this 18<sup>th</sup> day of June 2013.

6  
7 

8 RONALD B. LEIGHTON  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28